

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,954 11/25/2003		Gary H. Knauf	56118.P1/ C-3525.0	5893	
26710	7590 06/14/2006		EXAMINER		
QUARLES & BRADY LLP			MICHENER, JENNIFER KOLB		
SUITE 2040	ONSIN AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILWAUKE	E, WI 53202-4497		1762		
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<i>س</i>
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Occur		10/721,95	4	KNAUF, GARY H.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jennifer K	Michener	1762	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to to reply within the set or extended period for reply we poly received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TH f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve nication. utory period will apply and wil fill, by statute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICA nt, however, may a repl I expire SIX (6) MONTH cation to become ABAN	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communic NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status					
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2i Since this application is in condition followed in accordance with the practic	b) This action is no or allowance except	for formal matter	· •	ts is
Disposition	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 32-47 is/are pending in the at a large pending is/are allowed. Claim(s) 32-38,40,41,43 and 45-47 is claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	14 is/are withdrawn fr		n.	
Application	on Papers				
10) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) tion to the drawing(s) be the correction is require	e held in abeyance ed if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[/ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the priority of the copies of the priority of the copies of the copies of the copies of the copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the the attached detailed Office actions	locuments have bee locuments have bee f the priority docume nal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in App ents have been re e 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	;
Attachment	(a)				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/17/2004</u> .		Paper No(s)/I	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date brmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/721,954

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Examiner has received and reviewed the Larson document of IDS 3/17/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The rejection of claims 33, 35, and 37 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 32-38, 40-41, 43, and 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Packaging Digest article (supplied by Applicant) in view of Leonard (2002/0132049).

Examiner maintains the rejection of the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 3/28/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the rejection based on the Packaging Digest article be withdrawn because the instant case is a continuation in part of 6,656,401, which discloses dual coating of two webs using two windup stands without ever joining the webs together.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,954

Art Unit: 1762

Examiner disagrees.

As a first matter, Rexam researched suppliers for their new extrusion laminating line in 2000. As further clarified in the Larson document, page 3, installation of Rexam/Amcor's new line began May 30th, 2001 and was completed within five weeks, which would have been before the filing date of the parent of this case.

Additionally, Examiner notes that the parent case is primarily directed towards coating two adjoined webs at the same time. Applicant points to the quote that states "webs... may be extrusion coated separately and then joined prior to being wound up together. Alternatively, the webs may be wound up separately without ever being joined together using dual windup stands."

Examiner notes that this teaching does not require that webs be conveyed independently.

Also, even if separate extrusion is occurring, it is not clear that it is completely "independent". For example, this teaching allows for separate, tandem extrusion in which webs are run separately through the same extruder. This would not yield a coating step occurring during the same "portion of time". Also, the "alternatively" language is not clear and precise enough to provide basis for the instant claims. This language allows for co-extrusion in which they are not "joined" per se, but still coated together, but then wound up separately. Furthermore, this parent case discloses that most extrusion machines only have one windup stand therefore, a composite roll is often wound together and only *then* rewound on dual windup stands (col. 6, lines 47-58).

Art Unit: 1762

However, the claim requires far more than that which is disclosed by the parent case. The instant claim requires separate conveying, separate coating, and separate wind-up stands "wherein the conveying and coating steps in regard to the first web are carried out independently of the conveying and coating steps in regard to the second web and during at least a portion of the time in which the conveying and coating steps are being carried out in regard to the second web."

In light of the above, the teaching of col. 5, lines 9-12 of the parent case do not provide adequate support for all of the limitations of this continuation *in part*.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,954 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer K. Michener whose telephone number is (571) 272-1424. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Wednesday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Michener Primary Examiner

1 miles

Art Unit 1762

June 10, 2006